

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,546	10/21/2005	William R. Freeman	00015-017001/SD2001-200-1	3894
26138 Gavrilovich D	7590 09/24/2008 odd & Lindsey LLP	EXAMINER		
Joseph R. Bak	er, APC	HUANG, GIGI GEORGIANA		
8052 Avenida Carlsbad, CA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, 011			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,546	FREEMAN, WILLIAM R.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GIGI HUANG	1612		

		GIGI HUANG	1612	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 02 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛭	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
nave under set fo may r	issions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte *37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sit thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with NDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);	
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. I	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		.,,	,
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alloud non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.24.41 and 42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
в. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. [Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	Other:			

/Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive as they are not commensurate in scope with the claims addressed in the specific grounds of rejection. The argument in the rejection of Levy et al. in view of Jampol et al. is directed that Levy did not teach or suggest elements such as topical application of a photosensitizer, a high speed scanning laser ophthamoscope, indocyanine green, and non-coherent light. However, these elements are not required in the claims in the rejection. Additionally as cited in the previous action, Jampol et al. addresses the treatment of CMV in AMD, as also addressed in Levy, that can be treated not only in subfoveal situations but extrafoveal situations. The analysis, reasons, and rejections are present in the previous action.